TRAVEL & LOYALTY

Is airline status worth what you spend to keep it?

By Jude Monte Updated October 8, 2026
Former Chief Marketing & Experience Officer

THE MARKETING AT WORK

Airline status turns past spending into benefits—and future spending into the way to keep them. Once those benefits feel normal, losing them can matter more than what they are worth now. Qualification targets make loyalty measurable. The question is whether you are choosing the best trip or protecting last year's perks.

A family friend flew to Hawaii and back within roughly 24 hours to keep his airline status. Not for a holiday. For the status.

I do not know whether the benefits justified the trip. I do know the story becomes easier to understand once a perk stops feeling like a perk and starts feeling like something you are about to lose.

I had my own version. It involved a credit card rather than a return flight over the Pacific.

Two years ago, I had Delta Diamond status without owning a Delta credit card. There was no ingenious points strategy. I had simply flown an obscene amount. The shorter lines, priority baggage, and help when things went wrong were useful. Frequent travel contains enough tiny indignities that removing a few can feel like a meaningful act of kindness.

Then I left my corporate role and stopped living at airports. My status began to fall, which was logical. My response was to direct spending toward a Delta American Express card so I could try to preserve what I no longer flew enough to earn.

I research everything. I can turn sunscreen into a small regulatory investigation. Yet I had not properly compared cards, calculated what I was giving up, or asked what the benefits were worth for the life I actually had.

I knew I had been Diamond. That had somehow become the starting point of the analysis.

When a perk becomes the baseline

Researchers studying hierarchical loyalty programs tested what happened when customers gained preferred status and then lost it. In one frequent-flier scenario experiment, the loss produced a substantially larger negative movement in loyalty intentions than the gain had produced in the other direction. Demoted customers also reported lower loyalty intentions than people who had never received preferred status. [1]

That last comparison is the uncomfortable one. The airline had not merely stopped giving someone a bonus. It had changed what ordinary treatment felt like.

The shorter line had once been a perk. Then it became my line. The priority tag had been something extra. Then my suitcase without it looked strangely naked.

The study measures responses to specified scenarios, not the cause of every mileage run. But it captures a distinction I recognize: acquiring a benefit and surrendering that benefit are not experienced as equal and opposite events.

And my circumstances made the calculation more complicated, not less. I had stepped away from a major professional role. Declining airline status was one small, visible sign of that change. At the same time, I was now often traveling with a baby. A shorter line while managing a stroller, a diaper bag, and an unreasonable amount of equipment could matter more than it had when I traveled with a laptop.

The benefit was real. So was my attachment to it. I had not separated the two.

I thought I was evaluating a credit card. In practice, I had started with the question: how do I get something back?

My friend had preserved the decision

Another friend approaches travel very differently. She loves a beautiful hotel and a lie-flat seat. She is much less interested in which airline gets her there.

While I was pleased with my growing mileage balance, she was comparing ways to take the trip. I began with Delta. She began with where she wanted to go. I was protecting status; she was keeping her options open.

She did not lack a strategy. Her strategy was to avoid committing the decision before she knew what she wanted to buy.

That is the part worth borrowing—not the assumption that every flexible-points card is better, or that loyalty never makes sense. The right comparison is the value of the benefits actually used against the full cost of earning and preserving them. Fees, fares, availability, convenience, and forgone rewards all belong in that calculation.

A huge balance can look like progress while saying remarkably little about the trip it will buy. Until the itinerary, availability, charges, and applicable rules are checked, it is not a finished comparison. This essay is not a completed card recommendation.

A head start can be part of the product

There is a wonderfully simple experiment that helps explain why programs make progress so visible. Researchers gave customers loyalty cards for car washes. One card required eight purchases. The other required ten—but two spaces were already stamped. Everyone still had to buy eight washes. [2]

Yet 34 percent of customers with the artificial head start completed the card, compared with 19 percent of those given the ordinary eight-purchase card. The reward and remaining work were the same. One group could see itself as already on the way. [2]

This was a car-wash experiment, not an airline experiment. I would not apply its percentages to frequent fliers. But I would notice the question it raises whenever a program displays my progress: am I choosing the reward, or responding to how close I have been made to feel?

A progress bar is excellent at showing the distance left. It is less interested in whether the destination still suits me.

Keep the shorter line. Question the obligation.

I am not firing Delta. Living near Detroit can make it a very convenient choice. I like the service. There will be trips where paying more for the right schedule or useful help is entirely reasonable.

What I am questioning is the automatic extension: because I like flying this airline, I should also begin every search there, direct my card spending there, and rearrange my behavior to keep a designation.

The useful question is: if I had never held this status, would I pay what it will cost me now to have these benefits for the coming year?

That question leaves room for a yes. It simply asks the perks to win on their merits instead of arriving with a claim on my previous life.

The Hawaii trip, my card, and my friend's willingness to choose another airline are not three examples of smart versus foolish people. They are three different choices whose value depends on the circumstances. What I want to stop doing is letting the feeling of losing something finish the calculation before I begin.

I had confused being valued by an airline with getting value from one. I still like being valued. I would also like to check the other part.

▼ Sources + Methodology

Wagner, Hennig-Thurau, and Rudolph (2009), Does Customer Demotion Jeopardize Loyalty?
Scenario experiments and field evidence. The text uses direction of change in loyalty intentions, not a universal loss-aversion ratio or a measured cost of mileage runs.

Nunes and Drèze (2006), The Endowed Progress Effect
Both cards required eight further car washes. Completion was 19% versus 34%. This is not an airline effect estimate.

This is personal experience and behavioral research, not a current credit-card recommendation. The Hawaii traveler, Jude, and the female friend are different people. No trip cost, airline, dialogue, or economic verdict is inferred for the Hawaii anecdote. Benefits and rules should be checked for any new travel decision.
